

Kim Dunkley
372 Clay Hill
Johnson, VT
05656

12/1/06



LVRTC - MP
c/o VAST
26 VAST Lane
Barre, VT 05641

Overall the plan seems to address a lot of snowmobile's issues. It is supposed to be a multi-use trail, and given that there are many other uses (that do not create the concerns that motorized vehicles do) the plan doesn't talk a lot about them. I recognize that without VAST (\$ + power) we may not see the trail develop as fast, but I feel snow mobile's use should be limited so as to create harmony with adjacent landholders so the trail can succeed (proceed).

- One suggestion is a 9A.M. - 9PM motorized use. Any earlier or later than this is unfair to adjacent landholders. (Maybe even tighter 10A.M. → 7pm)
- Other areas of concern are, the foot in the door that the plan gave ATV's (thru VASA) - they (ATV's) have no place on a Multi-use trail with kids, walkers, etc. Big safety issue. As well, the maintenance cost would rise.
- Also herbicide use is pretty scary and would need intense communication with land holders →

to protect water, organic farms, and others with concerns.

- Lastly - because this project affects people + landscapes I feel it should go thru Act 250 to protect the land.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Kim Dunkley